

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 10 SEP 2004
WIPO
PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 6602.P001PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/US03/11320	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 April 2003 (14.04.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 April 2002 (16.04.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 7/16 and US Cl.: 725/2, 5, 87		
Applicant HUO, SHUYA		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.																								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.																								
<input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).																								
These annexes consist of a total of <u> </u> sheets.																								
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:																								
<table> <tr> <td>I</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td>II</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td>III</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IV</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>V</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VI</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VII</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VIII</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basis of the report	II	<input type="checkbox"/>	Priority	III	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lack of unity of invention	V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	VI	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain documents cited	VII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain defects in the international application	VIII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain observations on the international application
I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basis of the report																						
II	<input type="checkbox"/>	Priority																						
III	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																						
IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lack of unity of invention																						
V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																						
VI	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain documents cited																						
VII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain defects in the international application																						
VIII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain observations on the international application																						

Date of submission of the demand 12 November 2003 (12.11.2003)	Date of completion of this report 06 August 2004 (06.08.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer <i>Michelle R. Easer</i> AN MENG-AI Telephone No. (703) 305-3665

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

 the international application as originally filed. the description:

pages 1-6 as originally filed

pages NONE, filed with the demandpages NONE, filed with the letter of _____. the claims:

pages 7-10 as originally filed

pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19pages NONE, filed with the demandpages NONE, filed with the letter of _____. the drawings:

pages 1-3 as originally filed

pages NONE, filed with the demandpages NONE, filed with the letter of _____. the sequence listing part of the description:pages NONE, as originally filedpages NONE, filed with the demandpages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

 the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

 contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/~~fig~~ none5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-7	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-7	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-7	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-7 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system of electronic information item selection for trade and the traded item control delivery, including: an electronic information item selection software mean, which can be downloaded and upgraded on control and operating devices, such as client side TV set-top box type and remote handheld PC or PDA type device, and/or server side cable head-end, telecom node or computer hub; a reorganizing and transforming processor or call it pack-engine to process the for trade electronic information items which are items not being selected and being confirmed for-not-use by content subscriber using the said selection software mean; the for trade electronic information items or call it dealpacks, are generated by pack-engine from unselected or for-not-use items via a process of mapping, regrouping, packaging, labeling and pricing; with the label data being sent out for listing online, the dealpack will be control delivered in real, delayed or relayed time; and gateways set on the electronic information item trading network's key nodes to control said dealpack path and filter its content. a method for secret key issuance and management that issue the secret key to dealpack purchasing subscriber and manage the same and/or different networks subscribers' trade and delivery of the traded dealpack.